

CORRIGENDEUM FOR PRONOUNCEMENT OF JUDGMENT

W.P.(CrI) 697 of 2022, W.P. (CrI) 997 /2022, W.P.(CrI) 1044 of 2022, W.P.(CrI)1067/2022, _CrI . M . C . 1192/2022 ,
CrI . M . C . 3066/2022 , CrI . M . C . 6722/2022 & CrI.M.C. 3054/2022 LISTED FOR PRONOUNCEMENT OF
JUDGMENT AT 04:30 P.M SHALL NOW BE PRONOUNCED AT 02:30 P.M THE SAME MAY BE READ AS UNDER:

COURT NO . 6
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT MAHAJAN

CLICK HERE TO JOIN VC
<https://virtualcourtdhc.webex.com/meet/virtualcourtdhc7>

AT 02:30 P.M.

1.W.P.(CrI) 697 of 2022

BUDHI SINGHPetitioner

Through: Mr. Aman Panwar and Mr. Shivam Singh Baghel, Advs.

versus

STATE NCT OF DELHIRespondent

Through:Ms. Rupali Bandhopadhyia ASC for State Mr. Siddharatha Dave,
Sr.Adv., amicus curiae with Ms. Vidhi Taker, Adv.

2.W.P. (CrI) 997 /2022

BASANT VALLABHPetitioner

Through:Mr. Shrutanjaya Bhardwaj, Mr. Zeeshan Diwan and Mr. Rishabh Yadav, Advs.

Versus

STATE NCT OF DELHIRespondent

Through :Mr. Siddharatha Dave, Sr.Adv., amicus curiae with Ms. Vidhi Taker, Adv.

3.W.P.(CrI) 1044 of 2022

SURESH CHAND SHARMAPetitioner

Through:Mr. Rohan J. Alva, Adv.

VERSUS

STATE NCT OF DELHIRespondent

Through:Ms. Rupali Bandhopadhyia ASC for State Mr. Siddharatha Dave,

03.07.2023

2

PRONOUNCEMENT OF JUDGEMENT

Sr.Adv., amicus curiae with Ms. Vidhi Taker, Adv.

4.W.P.(CRL)1067/2022

JAI PAL SINGH

.....Petitioner

THROUGH:MR.ARJUN MALIK,ADV.

VERSUS

STATE OF NCT OF DELHI

.....Respondent

Through:Ms. Rupali Bandhopadhya ASC for State

5.CRL.M.C.1192/2022

RAJEEV JAIN & ORS.

.....PETITIONER

CRL. M.A.5199/2022

VERSUS

ASHTECH INDUSTRIES PVT. LTD.

.....RESPONDENTS

ADVOCATES WHO APPEARED IN THIS CASE:

FOR THE PETITIONER: MR.MS.AMRITA PANDA,ADV.

FOR THE RESPONDENTS:MR.SUDHIR NAAGAR,MR.VIKRANT MEHTA

AND MR.DIGVIJAY CHAUDHARY,ADVS.

6.CRL.M.C.3066/2022

VIHAN EXIMS COMPANY PVT. LTD. & ORS.

.....PETITIONER

CRL.M.A.12913/2022

VERSUS

CRL.M.A.14722/2022

STATE, GOVT OF NCT OF DELHI & ORS.

.....RESPONDENTS

ADVOCATES WHO APPEARED IN THIS CASE:

FOR THE PETITIONER: MR.ANUJ JAIN,ADVOCATE.

FOR THE RESPONDENTS:MS.PRIYANKA DALAL,APP FOR THE STATE

7.CRL.M.C.6722/2022

VERSHA NEGI

.....PETITIONER

CRL.M.A.26135/2022

VERSUS

STATE OF NCT DELHI THOURGH

.....RESPONDENTS

SHO PS SHAKARPUR DELHI & ANR. & ORS.

ADVOCATES WHO APPEARED IN THIS CASE:

FOR THE PETITIONER: ANIL TEJAN, & MS.LALITA ADVS.

FOR THE RESPONDENTS:MS.RICHA DHAWAN,APP

03.07.2023

3

PRONOUNCEMENT OF JUDGEMENT

8 .CRL.M.C. 3054/2022
CRL.M.A. 12844/2022

KARAN ARORA

.....Petitioner

versus

THE STATE (NCT OF DELHI) AND ANR.

.....Respondents

Advocates who appeared in this case:

For the Petitioner: Mr. Prashant Diwan & Mr. Mayank Verma, Advocates

For the Respondents: Ms. Priyanka Dalal, APP for the State

Mr. Rishabh Gupta, Adv. for R-2.

03.07.2023

/////

REGISTRAR (LISTING)